

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/688,149	10/17/2003	Daryl R. Sugden	064552.0236	9214
23640	7590 06/02/2004		EXAM	INER
BAKER BOTTS, LLP 910 LOUISIANA			MCWILLIAMS, ELTON	
	ΓX 77002-4995		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3672	
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004	İ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/688,149	SUGDEN, DARYL R.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Elton McWilliams	3672				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rr - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	 In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become AB. 	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 8-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 7</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad	ccepted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to tr	- , ,	` ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	or or the continue copies flor	000,100.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	•				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20040525				

المورد العام والمراد و

Application/Control Number: 10/688,149

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ferguson in view of Trainer.

With regard to claim 1, Ferguson discloses a swabbing tool that includes a mandrel 21 and swab cup 20 on the mandrel. However, Ferguson does not disclose a sifter below the swab cup.

The reference to Trainer discloses a sifting device 10 at the end of a pump barrel for the purpose of preventing sand or particles from entering the pumped or the produced fluid.

It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided Ferguson's swabbing tool to include a sifting device below the swab cup in view of Trainer in order to separate out and prevent particulate matter from being produced with the well fluids.

With regard to claim 4, Trainer's sifter 10 includes plural openings 50 to allow lateral flow.

With regard to claims 5, 8 and 9, Trainer's openings appear to be circular.

However, it is well known in the art to make well screens with slot-shaped openings and

Application/Control Number: 10/688,149

Art Unit: 3672

therefore it would have been obvious to make the opening of any particular shape, size or configuration to suit well conditions.

- 3. Claims 6 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References by Charles W. Kellett, III and Kenneth Harvard both contain an apparatus that removes particulate matter from fluid.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elton McWilliams whose telephone number is (703) 605-0750. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30AM to 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J Bagnell, can be reached at (703) 308-2151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/688,149

Art Unit: 3672

EM

DAVID BAGNELL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600 Page 4